App. F. IX-4

1923-24

File No. 172 23

Previous References
Spiny. 36 24 1-2
" 270 20-1-7

(Inamai)

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for

SUBJECT

Re the alienation of Madhur Sri Nara-Simha Swamy Temple lands.

The matter has been decided in the Chief Court and the Dowry Regn is under consideration

1923

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inez.)

NOTES.

File No. 172/1923

FILE HEADING.

Alienation of inam Survey no. 320 belonging to Sri Nara Simha Swami temple at Mandur.

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos Spare Copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

Misc 2 270-20 re the alienation of Madduri Sri Narasimha Swami temple land.

In the 1st para of G.O. No. 6519-20 Misc. 270-2 Dt 19-5-1922, it is noted that the Dy. Comrs. further report in the matter of the alienation of S. No. 320 endowed to the temple, was awaited.

2) It is ~~nearly~~ more than 8 months. The promised report has not been received as yet.

D

Put up for ref in connection with Mr Swappa's Mr Sandra's cases.)

3) Kept in suspense as yet.

29.1.23.

29.1.23

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

Muz. File No. 172 of 1923.

Serial No.

From, the Muz Comr
Letter no. C. 1092 Dt 14-12-23

Reports that in the circumstances it would be advantageous to the temple if the gutta on the lands is enhanced if that is feasible, since it may not be proper or legal to resume the lands to the temple and that the question of kadim tenancy has not been decided but that the tenants might be persuaded to pay a higher rental as the income goes to the temple.

5) From a perusal of the Dy Comrs letter to the Muz. Comr, it is seen that the present holders contend that

NOTE—Contn sheet

Muz. File No. 172 of 1923

Serial No.

they have been in possession of the lands even since 1846. It is not known whether at the time of the inam settlement, this matter came up for enquiry and if so, how it was disposed of.

b) A Pt. calling for an extract from the inam register is submitted for appl. or orders.

24.12.23

2 To the Inam Supdt No. 967 d. 12.1.24.
Requests him to forward for reference a copy of the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of the Inam land (Y. No. 920) of the above temple.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Serial No.

3. From, the Inam Supt.
Letter no. 39 Dt 23-1-1924.
Submits report called for in Sl. 2.

A. 8) The Dy. Comrs. report goes fully into the facts of the case.

The Inam Supt. states with regard to S. no. 320, that as the Inam Settlement of the Taluk took place before the Survey, the Inam register does not make mention of S. nos, that S. no. 320 having been treated as Kalsat, it does not find a place in the Inam register. Mat Officer has sent a copy of the Inam Settlement register and also

C

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 192 of 192 3

Serial No.

E a copy of the excess Jarab Patrika.

A ¶ A perusal of the Dy. Comrs. report goes to show that the matter has not been fully investigated, that two of the holders of the Inam lands have not been examined, that full opportunity has not been given for the production of documents before the local authorities in support of their alleged claim to Kadim tenancy etc - the Muz. Comr. also reports that the question of Kadim tenancy has not been decided -

May the ~~Inam~~ Dy. Comr. be asked, through the Muz. Comr. to complete his

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

enquiry and submit the case for orders of Govt.

Submitted for orders.

AD 7/2/1924

10) The ~~so~~ proposal of the Muz: Comr. not to resume the lands, but to enhance the gutta on them may, perhaps, be approved. But as the D.C. reports that ~~the~~ out of 4 persons holding the lands, two have refused to pay the enhanced gutta & two have not cared to appear before the Amildar, the point for orders appears to be what should be done, if ~~p~~ the persons in the enjoyment of the lands decline to pay the enhanced gutta.

SD 9/2/24

11) There is no question of kadim tenancy here. The lands have been alienated, according to the Dy Comr's report some where about 1863 (It is reported that in the year 1909 it was 46 years since alienation); and the action to be taken is resumption under Act 2 of 1874 (ii) (b) of the Revenue Sect Rec. Manual (Page 240)

NOTE—Contn sheet

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 142 of 1923

Serial No.

No action seems to have been taken ~~under~~ as herein directed so far. If approved the Dy. Comr will be asked to issue notice to the mathadhipatis to surrender the lands in 6 months.

16.2.24.

Recd. Reg.

12) Pl. 21/2

21.2.24

577
22.2.24.

(1. Member)

13) Please put up with G.O. 19/57 regarding a proposal for notn.

5/3/24

5.3.24.

D

14) Muz. F. No. 270-20 is submitted, and the G.O. 19/5/22 is flagged. 15) In this G.O. all the other Mts were resumed & further report awaited as regards the S.No in question.

7/3/1924 7/3/24 9.3.24.

243
11.3.24.

2nd member).

16) It is not the case one which is within the purview of Section 9 of the Act. It may be asked to dispose of the matter immediately. Express in some form for the opinion of Govt.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—9750—1-8 22

1.5.24. 1/5/24

"SUSPEND B" CASES OF THE BRANCH.

No.	Date of receipt	File and Serial No.	Orders on the file "to wait" etc.	The authority ordering it	Date on which such order has been carried out	Remarks
	7-12-24	172-23	May lie over for the present till the Mug-Regln is amended			

Brief subject of the file

Alienation of inam Survey No 320 belonging to Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Maddur

GRSM 488

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 172 of 1923

Serial No

17) Draft. Submitted

AU 6.5.24. 6/5/24

18) Issue as revised

8 5 24

4 To the Mauzai Commr. No. 1600 d. 12.5.24.

State that the matter is one which may be disposed of only by the Govt. and requests that it may be disposed of early & a report submitted to Govt.

5 No. C.S.99 of 24-25 & 18.11.24 from the Mauzai Comr. State that pending consideration of the question relating to the conflict between Sec 14 of Mur Regn and the Terms of Chief Comr's Notn. of 1877, no further action in this matter is nec. in view of the Mur bill coming into force.

19) Mur file 36-24 is put up for personal. The matter may be over for the present till the Mur Regn is amended.

BL 20.11.24 Yn 7.12.24.

20) The [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Letter No.A.C.174/20-21/Muz. dated 9/10th November 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Adverting to your letter No.C.1092 of 22-23 dated 22/23rd May -- 1923 calling for a report with records relating to the alienation of -- Survey No.320 of Maddur, belonging to the Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at the place, I have the honor to state as follows:--

The question of alienation of the lands bearing Survey Nos.317 and 320 of Maddur, (Vide margin for details) was brought to light, as may be seen from the enclosed records in April 1909, at the instance of a Darakhast petition dated 27th April 1909 presented to the Taluk Amildar, Mandya, by one Closepet Laksminarsiya, praying for the grant of the said lands to him, on enhanced gutta tenure. On enquiry made by the Taluk Amildar, it was ascertained that these lands were in possession and enjoyment of (1) Ujjani Chenna, (2) Sosale Nanjappa and (3) Mahomed --- Ismail. Persons (1) and (2) named above were said to be in the enjoyment of the lands for over 40 years previous to 1909 paying in return an annual gutta of Rs.32-0-6 to the Temple. This income as stated, was -- being utilized for the marginally noted sevas in the Temple every year.

S.No.	Extent: A.	G.	Assessment.		
317	3	-27	9	0	0
320	2	-20	16	0	0
	6	7	25	0	0

1. Narasimha Jayanti	--	Rs.7	0	0
2. Navarathri	--	7	0	0
3. Dhanurmasa seva	--	7	0	0
4. Rathothsava	--	11	0	6
	Total.	Rs.32	0	6

2. No steps are seen to have been taken by the Taluk ---- Amildar till 1916 to institute further enquiries into the -- case of alienation and for the restoration of the lands to -- the Temple. The case having come to the notice of the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, in 1914 he called for a detailed report from the Amildar on 23rd February 1914. The Amildar after enquiring the three alinees named above and recording their statements on 27th November 1916, submitted a report on 24th June 1917 under No.107. The Amildar's report and the statements enclosed with it, disclose the -- following facts.

(1) That the lands in question are Inams endowed to the institution named above.

(2) That they were formerly in possession and enjoyment of Ujjani -- Chennegowda and Sosale Nanjappa who were in return paying Rs.32-0-6 --- annually to the Temple as gutta.

(3) That one Bhoga Nanjappa got S.No.320 for a gutta of Rs.1-12-0 -- a year, during the time when one Mr.Narasanna was the Taluk Amildar, --- deposed that he divided the lands that were in his possession between his two sons Mudda Nanjappa and Settappa about 10-15 years previous to 1916 reserving only 7 guntas for himself, that the lands given to his sons had been sold by them to one Shankaraiya of Maddur about the year 1908, that gutta due to the Temple was being since then paid by the purchaser of the land, and that he (Bhoga Nanjappa) had been paying the gutta of 1-12-0 annually in respect of the 7 guntas of land held by him.

(4) That Chennegowda, and before him his father Ujjani Chenna, had been enjoying the full S.No.317 for an annual gutta of Rs.21/-. (Vide Chennegowda's statement.)

(5) That Mahomed Ismail purchased 27 guntas out of S.No.320 about the year 1914 from one Rangi Mudda for Rs.300/- and that he had been paying a gutta of Rs.4-10-0 a year to the Temple.

None of these persons produced any documents to show how and when they got the lands and on what terms, but have unanimously stated that they are neither prepared to pay any enhanced gutta to the Temple, nor give up the land.

The Amildar in his ithille finally, reported that owing to --- failure on the part of the Temple servants in bringing to the notice of the Taluk authorities then and there of the several transactions that had taken place in respect of these lands, it gave room for the ---- parties to get adverse possession of the lands and that it was not --- possible even to enhance the gutta etc.

3. The Sub Division Officer observed in his order No.115 dated

17th August 1917 that the alienees had no manner of right to be in possession and enjoyment of the Temple lands since so long a time - without any order recognising their tenancy and directed the Amildar send up any references that might be available, if the case was one under "Khadim Tenancy". The Amildar issued notice on 17th September 1917 to Chennegowda son of Ujjani Chenna to produce daklas, if any, prove his tenancy, khadim or otherwise, to the lands in question. It is not clear why the rest of the persons who were also in possession and enjoyment of these lands were not, this time called upon to produce documentary evidence in respect of their claims. Chennegowda, however produced a copy of the patta said to have been issued by the taluk -- authorities in favour of his father Ujjani Chenna on 1st July 1846 --x, granting the land described in the margin on kayam gutta tenure for 6½ varahas. It is not clear from the records, whether the lands --- referred to in the above patta are the identical lands now under ---- reference viz., S.No.317 and 320. He also produced copies of receipts granted to him by the Temple Parupathegar or Shanbhog, for payment of kandayam and gutta to the Temple during the marginally noted years.

1847, 1849, 1850, 1860, 1866, 1868, 1875, 1877, 1889, 1903, 1908, 1917.

Chennegowda while producing the -- copies of the above decuments before the Amildar on 27th December 1917, presented also a petition together with a statement to the effect that S.Nos.317 and 320 were got by his father Ujjani Chenna in 1846 on kayamgutta tenure, that they have been since then in the possession and enjoyment of his family who have spent nearly Rs.1500/- for the improvement of these lands and that Government had no right to levy any enhanced gutta from him, as he was a kayam -- guttadar as already stated etc.

recorded his statement in the above and passed orders on 3rd April 1918, under No. Huzur 580.

4. The Amildar submitted the records with a report to the above -- effect, finally, on 29th March 1918. The Sub Division Officer, on receipt of the records, securing the presence of Chennegowda on 2nd April 1918 under No.Huz.580, to the effect that his (Chennegowda's) tenancy in respect of the lands in question be continued at an --- enhanced gutta, as agreed to by ChenneGoda, before the Sub Division Officer, on 2nd April 1918.(He was formerly paying Rs.21/- per annum.) The Amildar was further directed to have a fresh guttige karar executed by Chennegowda on the above terms. The above order passed by the Sub-Division Officer, was on the ground that Chennegowda was proved to be a Kadim tenent.(Flag.)

Now agreed Rs.15 from Rs.36 annum

5. While matters stood thus, a statement of alienated muzral lands in Maddur hobli, was called for by this office with some particulars, at the instance of some other cases of wrongful alienation brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner and Government. The statement furnished by the Taluk included among other S.Nos.317 and 320 also. Against these two Nos. it was remarked by the Amildar that notices had been issued to garuvalidars to produce some daklas. With reference to this remark the Amildar was directed to report as to how this case was subsequently disposed of. (Vide this office order No.734 dated 4th June 1920.) The Amildar then reported the fact of the Sub Division officer's order of 3rd April 1918 referred to in para 4 supra. The records were then called for and after a perusal it was observed by this office on 28th June 1920 that the orders passed by the Sub Division Officer allowing the continuance of the gutta referred to above in favour of Chennegowda on the ground that he was a kadim tenant, without any time limit was opposed to the standing orders and rules in force, and the Sub Division Officer was asked to furnish his views, whether the previous Sub Division Officer's order granting the lease on kayamgutta tenure was advantageous or whether the lease might be sold in auction for a period of 5 years under the standing orders.

6. The Sub Division officer after calling for some information from the Taluk, reported on 25th November 1921, that as these lands had been under alienation since 1846, there was no provision of Law to deprive --- possession thereof from the holders and that in view of the fact that ---- these lands are inams endowed to the Temple, and that the income derived was very small, he would see his way to enhance the gutta atleast during the years Jamabandi (1921-22). The Sub Division officer's suggestion

was

proved by this office on 7/12th December 1921.

By a reference to the records it is seen that these two S.Nos. are now in possession of the marginally noted 4 persons.(Vide Amildar's report No.73 dated 28th October 1921.)

	S.No.	Extent a. g.	Held by whom.
1.	317	2 - 7	Ujjani Chenna.
		0 -30	Sadasivaiya son of Shankaraiya of Bangalore.
		0 -30	Mahomed Ismail of Kalaur.
		Total.3 -27	
2.	320	2 -13	Ujjani Chenna.
		0 - 7	Gosale Nanjappa.
		Total.2 -20	

There are some slight differences with regard to the details of extent -- held by each, between the particulars furnished by the parties in their statements before the Amildar and those given in the Amildar's report.

8. These persons, when called upon by the Amildar to pay enhanced sutta in respect of the lands held by them, Ujjani Chenna and Kalaur Ismail flatly refused to pay anything more than what they were at -- present paying. Gosale Nanjappa and Sadasivaiya son of Shankarappa are reported to have not ~~xxxxx~~ turned up before the Amildar to ---- ascertain what they had to say in this matter, inspite of notices -- served on them.

9. The entire file of connected records ending with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's No.R.C.C.995/20-30 dated 11th March 1925 is submitted for favour of reference."

"C o p y"

Muzrai Commissioner.

17/12/23

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
, the *192* .

Date of issue 12.1.24

Signed by Mr T. Ramaiya

Section Muz.
File No. 172-23.
Serial No. 2.

No. 967

To

The Inam Inspr

Sir,

I am directed to ~~for~~ request that you will be so good as to forward for reference a copy of the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of the

over.

marginally noted land,
as inam for the benefit
of Sri Narasimha
Swami temple at
Madhur.

I have etc.

Sd/- Rev. Secretary
24/12/23.

25-12-23.

Memorandum
P.H.P.
16-12-23

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563- GPB—2,500—27 7 22

Inam Registered Sheet No 153
Daniel - Mandya
Hobli - Maddur
Village - Maddur

Extract of the Register of Inams in the village of Maddur

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
			H. State Inam account 1844.	
1	Devadaya	11'5 & 111 b	Inam K K. P 0 - 7 - 0	

Samhat of Maddur in the Taluk of Mandya

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, i. e, jodi, &c, amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
P 70 R A P 2-0-0 = 5-13-1	—	For Narasimha temple in this village which as per Amil's certificate is in good order and service undertaken by	Free

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonmah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
–		[illegible] account 1844 [illegible]

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Madras			
Narasimha [illegible]			
Mangapa [illegible]			

No

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Allowable 1. Wrote grant - ~~Deodaya~~. 2 To be confirmed on ½ quit rent Rs 3. Rure 11 1 JD contributary (Sd) Syed Nassrudeen Assistant 20 1 79	1 Confirmed (Sd) R A Cole Settlement officer Inam Settlements 4 6.80

"Copy"

Parthasarathi 19/7/11

For Copy, Inam Settlement

Compared
19 1 24

JECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 890

Section Inez.

File No. 172/1923

Serial No. 4.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

No. 1640

Due file of the record.

Date of issue 12.5.24

To

The Inuzrai Comr.

Signed by Sm T. Ramaiya

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 1092 of 17/12/23 reporting on the alienation of inam S. no. 320 endowed to Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at Maddur, I am directed to state that under S.O. no. 1332-E - Inez. 63-22-9 over of 25.9.22

(sd K)

The matter
is one which ~~you~~ may be disposed of by ~~[illegible]~~
~~for disposal~~
~~by you~~, and to request
that you will be so good that the matter
as to dispose of the may be disposed
matter ~~yourself, reporting~~ and submit a report early and a report submitted to Govt.
the final disposal
for the information of
Govt.
(2) The ends are
Returned.

8.5.24.

List of enclosures.

I have &c,

(W.) Rev-Secretary
6/5/24

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7-22

C.5990 of 24-25
18.11.24

G. R. S. 45]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 703, dated the 17 11 1924
(Muzrai)

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore

U.N.R.
17.11.24

SUBJECT.

Calling for a report ~~off the disposal of the case~~ re the alienation of inam S. No. 320 belonging to Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Maddur.

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to the marginally noted communication and to request that the General and Revenue Secretariat may be informed, in the column opposite, of the cause of delay in furnishing a reply and of the approximate date on which a reply may be expected.

No. 1640, dated 12 May 1924.
Muzr. 172-23-5

He is also to request that the General and Revenue Secretariat may be favoured with a reply this reminder ~~not later than the date~~ at an early

By order,

For Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Dept.

REPLY.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
21 NOV 1924
MYSORE.
No. 798

Muzr. 172-23-5

To

The
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Revenue Department
for urgent

Sir,

In reply, I have the honour to invite kind reference to this office letter No. C 161/24-25 dated the 15 August 1924, stating that pending the consideration of the question relating to the conflict between Section 17, the inam rules, the relation and the terms of the chief commissioner's notification [illegible] 17 [illegible] 30th August 1924 [illegible] further action in the matter in view of the new inam bill coming into force.

I have the honour to be Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
[illegible] 29/11/24

Previous reminder

WD 149- GPL-10 000 12-7-21

Subd with the file

3rd reminder V 532 9 [illegible] 29/11